Volume 12. Issue 50



Olives Under Supervision.

The early *mishnayot* of the ninth *perek* of *Mashechet Taharot* deal with the implications of liquids coming into contact with foods in the context of *tumah* and *tahara*. This situation is referenced in these early *mishnayot* using the specific example of olives. This is because olives ordinarily go through a number of processes prior to being pressed into oil and during these stages its juice will come into contact with the olives - resulting in questionable situations.

The fourth Mishnah states that an am ha'aretz who is completing the gathering of his olives should set aside one box and give it 'le'enei' (literally 'to the eyes') of the kohen. The simple explanation is that the am ha'aretz should give the box of olives to the kohen prior to the completion of the gathering process to satisfy his obligation of separating terumah. The Mishnah holds that even if the olives were excreting oil, the determinant for susceptibility to *tumah* is whether the owner is happy for the olives to be moistened. Prior to the completion of gathering, the owner does not care whether the olives are moistened and gets no benefit from the oil that has escaped. Therefore, by receiving the box of olives from the am ha'aretz prior to this point, the *kohen* can be ensured that the olives have not reached a point of susceptibility to *tumah*, and he will be able to maintain these olives in a state of taharah.

The Siach Yitzchak poses a question. How can one rely on the am ha'aretz in order to be sure that the olives received before the completion of the gathering process are tahor? After all, there exists the possibility, especially with an am ha'aretz involved, that the produce came into contact with other liquids prior to being received by the kohen which would render the produce tameh. The Siach Yitzchak answers (by citing a Gemara in Chagigah 22b) that although an am ha'aretz cannot attest to something being tahor, we do believe him if he says that an item is not susceptible to tumah. Therefore, if the kohen claims that the olives have not been rendered susceptible to tumah, we are able to believe him. The *Mishna Achrona* has a slightly different version of this text and cites that the *am ha'aretz* put aside one box *in order* to complete the work it in front of the *kohen*. The implication of this is that the *am ha'aretz* must actually complete the process by placing the final box in the gathering place in front of the *kohen*, so that the *kohen* can keep guard over the olives and ensure they maintain their state of *taharah* from that point under this watch.

There is yet another *girsah* of this *Mishna* (as cited in *Masechet Chagiga* 25a) which states that the *am ha'aretz* should provide the box of olives to an *'ani'* (i.e. a poor) *kohen*. According to the first explanation, this implies that since the *am ha'aretz* must give over one box of olives to a *kohen* prior to completion in any event it would be a *midah tova* for them to provide it to a poor *kohen* which would effectively fulfill their obligation for *terumah* as well as *g'milus chasadim*.

The Rambam provides another explanation why a poor kohen was explicitly mentioned in this context. He states that after the gathering season, it is assumed that all olives to be used for olive oil that belonged to an *am ha'aretz* would have been completed with the knowledge of the owner and come into contact with liquid (either from itself or other surrounding olives). It follows then, that a kohen would be unable to accept olive oil from an am ha'aretz after this point as all olive oil is assumed to be tameh. Therefore, the Mishnah states that the am ha'aretz should specifically take a box of olives and give it to a poor kohen. This box of olives does not have the assumption of *tumah*, as it was set aside and not used specifically for olive oil. It is also assumed that a rich kohen would not take olives as they would not bother to press it into olive oil, while a poor kohen would take whatever produce is available.

Interestingly the *Tosafot* (as cited in *Chagigah* 25a) is of the opinion that the *girsah* as quoted in the *Mishnah* (*le'enei*) is the correct interpretation of the *Mishnah* and states that those that have the other *girsah* (*l'ani*) are citing a corrupted version of the text.

Yehuda Gottlieb

Revision Questions

טהרות ט :בי – יי

- Can olives become susceptible to *tumah* if the owner did not complete collecting olive for some reason out his control? (v: ::v)
- What is the law regarding freshly picked olives onto which *tameh* liquid fell? (י:בי)
- Is the law in the previous question different if all work in collecting the olives was complete? (ν: κ')
- What is *R' Shimon*'s version of the debate? (טי: ג׳)
- What are the three opinions regarding how an *am ha'aretz* should complete picking his olives in order to separate *trumah*? (*σ*: *τ*:)
- What is the law regarding olives that were left in a basket to soften? (Provide both cases.) (σ::σ)
- What is the law regarding olives that were placed on the roof for drying? (v): (v)
- What is the law regarding such olives that were stored in the house in order to soften them prior to placing them on the roof? ('): 'v)
- Does the law change if they were in the house only while making space on the roof?
 ('): '0)
- Explain the debate regarding a case when one takes olives from a vat for pressing when he has not finished collecting olives into that vat. (1:10)
- What is the law regarding a case where a *sheretz* is found:
 - On a grinding stone?
 - o On the leaves on pressed olives? (יטי: חי)
 - On clumps of olives above the main mass of olives in a vat?
 - On clumps above clumps above the mass?
 - Between the wall and the olives?
 - Burnt on top of the olives? (v: v)
- What is the law regarding the vat from which olives where being taken and placed on the roof if a *sheretz* is found amongst the olives on the roof? ('v: 'v)
- What is the law if the *sheretz* was found in the vat? (יט: יטי)
- Explain the debate regarding the case where the olive workers who were made *tahor* were locked in the press along with a *tameh kli*. (": ")
- What is the law if *tameh* liquid was found spilt in an olive press in which the workers were treading? (":בי)
- Were the workers allowed to leave the press to relieve themselves? (": ב')
- Explain the debate regarding whether the workers would need to be supervised when immersing themselves and the *keilim* prior to work. ('::'')
- What is the scope of the decree that applies to picked grapes making them susceptible to *tumah*? (Include both opinions.) ('T: '')
- Grapes picked and stored in which locations would immediately be susceptible to tumah? (י:הי)
- What would be the law if one such grape (that was *tameh*) fell into many others? After how many more would the law be different? (": הי)
- What is the law if an *am ha'aretz* spat while he talked and there is a doubt whether the spittle reached the vat? (7: ")

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Beit Ha'Roeh</u> Melbourne, Australia

> **Efrat, Israel** *Shiur in English*

Sunday -Thursday Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 - 2 - 4

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
25 October יייב חשון	26 October י״ג חשון	27 October י״ד חשון	28 October ט״ו חשון	29 October ט״ז חשון	30 October י״ז חשון	31 October י״ח חשון
Taharot 10:7-8	Mikvaot 1:1-2	Mikvaot 1:3-4	Mikvaot 1:5-6	Mikvaot 1:7-8	Mikvaot 2:1-2	Mikvaot 2:3-4

Next Week's Mishnayot...