



Volume 1. Issue 26.

Shlichut in Trumot

Messechet Trumot opens with a list of five people who cannot separate *trumah*. Amongst them is “one who separates *trumah* that does not belong to him”. *Kehati* explains that one cannot separate someone else’s *trumah* without the owner’s permission. If the owner however, elects this person as a *shaliach* (messenger) then he can separate *trumah* for the owner. This is learnt from the *pasuk*: “And you shall also take *trumot Hashem*” (*Bamidbar* 18:28). *Kehati*’s claim is supported as the concept of *shlichut* and is discussed in further detail later in the *messechet* (4:4).

Shlichut in other domains is understood as being more than just granting permission for another to perform a particular act. The *Ktzot* (195:2) explains that the *Rishonim* debated whether to consider a *shaliach* as merely acting for the sender or actually replacing him giving the *shaliach* more independence. One may ask whether the “*shlichut*” required in *trumot* is the same as in other areas.

The *Mishnah* in *Nedarim* writes that if someone vows against deriving benefit from someone, that person can still separate *trumah* for him. The *Gemarah* (36b) explain that due to the *neder* the person cannot act as a *shaliach*. Nevertheless, the *Gemarah* continues, this person (the *mudar*) can still separate *trumah* in a situation where the owner publicly declared that anyone can separate *trumot* for him. Consequently, it appears that *shlichut* is not required and the owner’s permission alone would suffice. Nevertheless the *Gemarah* in *Kiddushin* seems to suggest that genuine *shlichut* for *trumah* is learnt from the *pasuk* (like *Kehati* described). How does one reconcile these two sources?

There are three different approaches. The *Tosfot* (*Gittin* 66a) explains that in the case described in *Nedarim* the person is still acting as a *shaliach*. By the owner publicly announcing and not directly approaching the *mudar*, he is not considered to be benefiting from the person ensuring that the vow is not compromised.

The *Rashba* admits that the case described in *Nedarim* does not require *shlichut*. Nevertheless, he maintains that the case is an exception since the *mudar* is a separating *trumah* from his own produce to act as *trumah* for the other person’s produce. Consequently, he has the power to render his own produce as *trumah*. *Shlichut* however is still required when separating *trumah* from the owner’s produce for the owner’s produce.

Finally the *Ramban* (*Gittin* 66a) argues that *shlichut* is not required for separating *trumah* (like the original suggestion). The original question therefore resurfaces – how does one treat the *Gemarah* in *Kiddushin*?

Rav M. Taragin writes that the *Gemarah* proves that *shlichut* applies to *trumot* from the *Mishnah* 4:4 (cited earlier). Yet, earlier *Mishnah* 3:4 makes reference to the ability of one separating for another:

When do we say that [a partner cannot separate for the other]? When they didn’t speak, but if he gives permission to a member of his household... they can separate *trumah*.

Why does the *Gemarah* not make reference to this earlier *Mishnah* as the source for *shlichut*?

Rav Taragin explains that the *Ramban* could hold that there are two independent paths that one can take in order to separate *trumah* for another. The first path is when the owner simply agrees or gives permission. This path is the one described in *Nedarim* and in *Mishnah* 3:4. The second is if the person is made a *shaliach*. Here the *shaliach* takes the place of the owner and can operate with more independence. This path is described in *Mishnah* 4:4 (quoted in *Kidushin*) where the *Mishnah* rules that if the *shaliach* is unaware of the amount the owner wishes to separate, he may assume the normal amount. This level of independence can only be justified if the person has been made a *shaliach*.

David Bankier
Based on a shiur by Rav Moshe Taragin

Masכת שבועית is dedicated to the memory of:

Revision Questions

שבועית י: זי - י: טי

- According to *R' Eliezer*, what is special about the status of a beehive? In what other areas of *Halacha* is this fact important? (י: זי)
- If someone insists on paying back a loan after *Shmittah* after the lender has informed him that the debt is cancelled, can the lender accept the money? (י: חז)
- What other case is comparable to the case mentioned in the previous question? (י: חז)
- What is the *Chachamim's* attitude to one that pays back a debt after *Shmittah*? (י: טי)
- What other two cases mentioned in the *Mishnah* elicit the same response from the *Chachamim*? (י: טי)

תרומות א: א' - ב: א'

- Which five people are unable to separate *trumah* and why? (א: א')
- In general, what is implied by the term *cheresh*? (ב: א')
- Explain the debate between *R' Yehuda* and *R' Yosi* regarding the minimum age of one who can separate *trumah*? (ג: א')
- If someone has wine and grapes which are both *tevel* can one separate *trumah* from the grapes alone, or does he have to do it for both the wine a grapes? (ד: א')
- What is the law regarding the separated *trumah* in the previous question? (ד: א')
- Can one separate *trumah* from: (ה: א')
 - *Leket, peah* or *shichecha* for regular *tevel* produce?
 - Ownerless produces for *tevel* produce?
 - Produce that does not require *trumot* to be separate for *tevel* produce?
 - *Tevel* produce for produce that does not require *trumot* to be separated?
- What are the three other cases listed in the *Mishnah* that share the same laws as described in the previous question? (ה: א')
- Which five people should ideally not separate *trumah*, yet if they did, that which was separated is indeed *trumah*? (ו: א')
- In what manner should one separate *trumah gedolah*? (ז: א')
- What is the law regarding one who separates *trumah* from olives that will be used for producing oil for *tevel* oil? (ח: א')
- Can one separate *trumah* from olives that will be pickled for *tevel* oil? (ט: א')
- What is the law regarding the previous case, if after the person separates *trumah*, he decides to use the remaining olives to produce oil? (ט: א')
- What is the general rule outlined in the last *Mishnah* of the first *perek*? (י: א')
- Can one separate from *tahor* produce for *tameh* produce? (א: ב)
- Describe the cases mentioned regarding cakes of dried figs, where one can act in the manner described in the previous question, and where one cannot. (א: ב)

Local Shiurim

Sunday

Between mincha and ma'ariv
Mizrachi Shul
David Bankier

Monday -Thursday

Between mincha & ma'ariv
Mizrachi Shul
Ben-zion Hain

9:45pm

Beit Midrash:

Naftali Herc

David Bankier

Friday & Shabbat

10 minutes before mincha
Mizrachi Shul
David Bankier

Audio Shiurim on-line!

- 613.org/Mishnah.html
- www.shemayisrael.com/Mishnah/

SEEKING SPONSORS

Currently 200 printed Mishnah Yomit publications are being sent to 18 shuls around Melbourne and electronic editions sent to 15 subscribers in Australia, Israel, England and USA. This can only continue through the generous support of our sponsors.

If you would like to sponsor a *Messechet*, please email mishnahyomit@hotmail.com

To add another mishnah yomit shiur send an email to: mishnahyomit@hotmail.com

Next Week's Mishnahyot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
3 rd Apr. כ"ג אדר ב'	4 th Apr. כ"ד אדר ב'	5 th Apr. כ"ה אדר ב'	6 th Apr. כ"ו אדר ב'	7 th Apr. כ"ז אדר ב'	8 th Apr. כ"ח אדר ב'	9 th Apr. כ"ט אדר ב'
Trumot 2: 2-3	Trumot 2: 4-5	Trumot 2:6-3:1	Trumot 3: 2-3	Trumot 3: 4-5	Trumot 3: 6-7	Trumot 3: 8-9

